

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/936,367	01/23/2002	Michael Affolter	112843-029	4325
29174	7590 02/17/2006		EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC P. O. BOX 1135			KAM, CHIH MIN	
	L 60690-1165		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			1656	

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/936,367 AFFOLTER ET AL.		AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chih-Min Kam	1656				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this (BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
	'-					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	Application No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have beer	n received in this National	l Stage			
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachment(s)						
		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	 -				

Application/Control Number: 09/936,367

Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

1. In the preliminary amendment filed September 11, 2001, claims 4-8 have been amended, and new claims 9-18 have been added. Therefore, claims 1-18 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-6 and 9-13, drawn to a koji mold belonging to the genus Aspergillus, Rhizopus, Mucor or Penicillium, wherein the proteolytic activity of which is not carbon repressed and wherein the creA gene has been mutated such that the gene product thereof is essentially nonfunctional; and a method of producing proteolytic enzyme by cultivating the koji mold in a suitable growth medium in the presence of a carbon source under conditions that the mold expresses porteolytic enzymes.

Group II, claims 7, 8, and 14-18, drawn to method for hydrolyzing protein-containing materials or preparing a protein hydrolysate comprising the steps of using a Koji mold belonging to the genus Aspergillus, Rhizopus, Mucor, or Penicillium, the proteolytic activity of which is not carbon repressed and wherein the creA gene has been mutated such that the gene product thereof is essentially nonfunctional.

The claims of these groups are directed to different inventions, which are not linked to form a single general concept. The claims in the different groups do not have in common the

Application/Control Number: 09/936,367

Art Unit: 1656

same or corresponding technical features. In particular, each group is directed to distinct methods (i.e., a process for producing proteolytic enzyme by cultivating the koji mold in a suitable growth medium; a process for hydrolyzing protein-containing materials or preparing a protein hydrolysate by using a Koji mold), which have different method steps, use different materials and produce different outcomes. Accordingly, the claims are not so linked by a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2 so as to form a single inventive concept and lack of unity is deemed proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct subgenera of the claimed invention: Aspergillus, Rhizopus, Mucor or Penicillium (claim 1 or 6). Each sub-genus is structurally and functionally divergent and would require separate non-overlapping searches.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed sub-genus on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 6 are generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct subgenera of the claimed invention: Aspergillus, Rhizopus, Mucor, or Penicillium (claim 7 or 18). Each sub-genus is structurally and functionally divergent and would require separate non-overlapping searches.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed sub-genus on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 7 and 18 are generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,367

Art Unit: 1656

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1656

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (571) 272-0948. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr can be reached at 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Azilan

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D.

Patent Examiner

CHIH-MIN KAM
PATENT EXAMINER

CMK

February 14, 2006